



**Indigo
Power**

Yea Railway Park Business Case

Prepared by Indigo Power

Friday, 18 July 2024

Version	Date	Author	Status
V0.1	14/03/2024	HS	Draft
V1.0	31/05/2024	HS	Draft
V1.1	31/07/2024	HS	Draft
V2.0	16/08/2024	HS	Draft
V3.0	26/08/2024	HS	Final

Table of contents

Table of contents.....	1
1. Introduction	3
2. Method	7
3. Results	7
4. Business case Benefits	16
5. Conclusion	17
Appendix One: Modelling Assumptions.....	18

Disclaimer:

This business case has been prepared by Indigo Power Limited ACN 629865452 (IP).

The business case and the information in it is provided in good faith and all care has been taken to ensure its accuracy at the date of preparation. The business case is based on information available to IP including data provided by or collected from third parties and public sources (Available Information).

The business case contains forward-looking statements, projections, forecasts, assumptions, opinions or estimates (forward-looking statements).

Any forward-looking statements and or other representations contained in this business case are not guarantees or predictions of future events or performance and involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties and other factors, many of which are beyond the control of IP. The business case may involve significant elements of subjective judgement, assumptions and contingencies as to future events which may or may not be correct and which are subject to change without notice.

In addition, any forward-looking statements reflect the views of IP only as at the date of this business case. Electricity markets are inherently volatile, and change regularly on account of external events. With this in mind, actual events and results may differ materially from the anticipated events and results projected or implied by the forward-looking statements.

While IP believes the forward-looking statements in the business case are reasonable having regard to the Available Information, neither IP nor any other person gives any assurance or guarantee that the occurrence of the events expressed or implied in the business case will occur and you are cautioned not to place undue reliance on this business case. IP accepts no obligation or liability to provide any ongoing, additional or updated information whether because of new information, future events, results or otherwise.

Importantly, IP does not have an Australian Financial Services Licence, and this advice should not be construed or relied upon as tax, legal, financial, investment or accounting advice. Additionally, the business case does not consider the objectives, situation or needs of any person(s) or organisation(s).

The business case does not include, without limitation, all information that a participant, potential participant or investor in Australia's national electricity market may require before making any decision and should not be solely relied on as the basis for making any such decision.

1. Introduction

1.1 Project Background

Indigo Power (IP) in consultation with **2030Yea, RMIT and Indigo Power Foundation**, are funded by the **Victorian Government's Neighbourhood Battery Initiative Round Three** to work in the Yea township to develop an investment-ready business case and site-specific project plan for one neighbourhood battery at a suitable site. The intention of the Victorian Government's Neighbourhood Battery Initiative (NBI) is to develop a pipeline of projects that are ready to commence implementation by July 2025. The Victorian Government is funding 100 community batteries over a two-year period through the 100 Neighbourhood Batteries (100NB) Program.

Applications for 100NB Round 2 are expected to open in August 2024, with up to \$300,000 project funding available per battery. The objective of this project is to develop a community battery business case and site-specific project plan that can support applications to this fund.

Neighbourhood battery technologies and business models are in the early stages of development and community battery projects are not financially viable without the support of grant funding. Grant funding provides an opportunity to cover most of the costs associated with the installation of a community battery, thereby mitigating financial risk.

This business case outlines the commercial options for the delivery of a community battery facility at the Yea Railway Park. Financial analysis is presented for the most suitable commercial option. The business case forms a part of a suite of supporting documents which includes:

1. Site inspection report: provides the outcomes of IP's detailed inspection of the site.
2. Preliminary modelling report: provides detailed analysis to identify system specifications that maximise net present value.
3. Design Brief: provides detail on system design, layout, costing and delivery.
4. Business case: provides financial analysis and commercial models.

1.2 Site Summary

Yea Railway Park has no existing solar PV or battery storage. The intended site would not be suitable for solar PV. This site would be intended for an In Front of the Meter 'IFtM' battery.

The site connects to a 200kVA shared transformer across from the park. There is no existing electrical infrastructure in this location, and a new main electrical switchboard including a new connection to the LV network would be completed as part of the project. The new connection will allow for the full export from the battery to be provisioned with the expected capability to export up to 150kW battery power.

It is noted that the requirements, under the Service Installation Rules (SIR), that the site is only allowed to have one electricity meter per site. An IFtM battery still needs to have a meter whether it is in front or behind the meter. The park has three existing buildings on the title with power available and therefore a meter is in operation. To overcome this, an exemption or a separate subdivision for the land that the battery is to be positioned on would need to be completed prior to the installation of the neighbourhood battery.

Preliminary modelling suggests optimal system specifications is for a 300kWh battery storage. RMIT's network studies has also suggested a 100kW/300kWh if a neighbourhood battery was installed in-front-of the meter at this site.

It is expected that the battery system will be sized to 100kW/250kW with capacity to export all generation by both the solar and battery system at scheduled times due to peak capacity limiting .

The total cost to install the proposed new system is \$387,660 (excluding GST). Details of the breakdown of capital costs are included in Table One below.

Table One. Capital cost breakdown.

Solar capital cost	\$0
Battery capital costs	\$387,660
Total	\$387,660
Grant breakdown	
Grant Request	\$300,000
Battery owner contribution	\$87,660

1.3 Neighbourhood batteries are in an early stage of commercial development

Neighbourhood batteries are in their early stages of commercial development, and any neighbourhood batteries installed under the NB100 grant program should be considered as pilot projects. Neighbourhood batteries will be capable of delivering environmental, resilience, community benefits, and financial benefits, but will be a long way from an established commercial product or model. The rollout of early-stage neighbourhood batteries is occurring within the context of the transition of Australia’s energy system to renewable energy, which brings with it significant change. This transition means that the financial information presented in this business case is subject to material changes as developments in Australia’s energy sector, and in neighbourhood battery operational models, continue. This section provides a high-level account of changes underway, and how they are likely to impact the delivery and operation of neighbourhood batteries. Importantly, many of the changes underway support, rather than hinder, the commercial delivery of neighbourhood batteries.

Technology

Battery technology is rapidly developing, resulting in new chemistry types and higher storage and power density. The commercial deployment of energy management system technology and associated software for distributed energy resources is in its infancy for behind the meter neighbourhood battery management. For instance, the development of an algorithm or procedure to balance resilience objectives with financial objectives would be an output of any 100 NB delivery program.

Commercial

Commercial arrangements for delivering neighbourhood batteries are in early stages of development. Virtual power plant options are available from electricity retailers ‘off the shelf’, but these have a focus on supporting the operation of household batteries and are not optimised for the neighbourhood scale. There is no ‘off the shelf’ commercial option for neighbourhood scale batteries and commercial models are typically bespoke. This project has developed commercial options based on a survey of project participants.

Regulatory

The renewable energy transition is occurring alongside significant policy and regulatory change. Known regulatory changes include the termination of renewable energy certificates

under the Renewable Energy Target in 2030. Other likely changes are not known but are expected to be in support of smaller scale renewable energy generation and storage, or distributed energy resources. More detail on these trends can be found [on AEMO's website](#).

Financial

It is likely that the financial performance of neighbourhood scale batteries will improve over the long term.

Wholesale prices: Wholesale energy forward curves used in analysis in this project indicate a future pattern of low or negative spot prices during times of high solar production, and high overnight electricity prices, particularly as coal fired generation continues to exit the market. High prices are correlated at times of low renewable energy production, overnight and winter, and low prices are correlated with times of high renewable energy production, during the day and in summer. These changes will support battery financial performance allowing low cost battery charging and higher electricity sell prices.

Capital costs: The National Renewable Energy Laboratory suggests that 4-hour utility scale battery costs could fall by as much as 47% by 2030¹. These reduced capital costs are likely to flow through to neighbourhood batteries and be supported by lower installation costs due to increased contractor familiarity and competition.

Network tariffs: Especially in Victoria, network tariffs are poorly suited to community battery operation. This is already changing in New South Wales, where the new network tariff regulatory period commences on 1 July 2024, and is likely to change in Victoria in the new regulatory period, which commences 1 July 2026. Network tariffs are likely to include low cost import tariffs during the day and increased import tariffs during the evening peak. From 2024 in New South Wales, some network tariffs also reward battery owners for exporting in the evening peak.

Additional value streams: There may be additional revenue streams for neighbourhood batteries in the future as markets for demand response and network support services are initiated or further developed.

The changes that improved financial performance of community batteries are expected to negatively impact sites that don't have battery storage. Sites are likely to be exposed to higher evening electricity prices through higher wholesale prices and network tariffs. The analysis carried out in this business case assumes that network tariffs remain unchanged. In the likely event that they do change, cost savings with a neighbourhood battery are likely much higher.

¹ <https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy23osti/85332.pdf>

1.4 Commercial Arrangements

Neighbourhood batteries can realise several outcomes across social, environment and financial categories. Different commercial and/or operational arrangements are required for the realisation of these outcomes. These are detailed in Table Two below.

Table Two. Description of the commercial arrangements necessary to realise community battery outcomes.

Outcome	Commercial/operational Requirement
Energy Sharing	<p>The solar and battery system can supply renewable energy to the host site and share energy with the community.</p> <p>Energy sharing connects a community battery facility to electricity consumers in the community and requires the involvement of an electricity retailer able to aggregate and process data on customer consumption and community battery export. Doing this in a meaningful way requires additional software to match local consumption with the site's export. See Indigo Power's energy sharing software as an example².</p>
Electricity sales	<p>Improved electricity sales outcomes are achieved by linking the facility to the national electricity market and managing the battery to capture high electricity prices. This requires an arrangement with a third party market participant with appropriate licences and registrations.</p>
Frequency control ancillary services sales (FCAS)	<p>Additional revenue streams for battery storage are through the provision of services that moderate the frequency of electricity in the network, referred to as FCAS.</p> <p>Access to contingency FCAS³ revenue requires an arrangement with a third party market participant and aggregator with appropriate licences and registrations.</p>
Network support	<p>Batteries can provide network support services to the local electricity grid, including demand raise and lower services and voltage control. There is no ready market for the delivery of these services. However, network support opportunities for small scale storage may occur in the future, which would require an arrangement with an appropriate aggregator to control and deploy storage according to a network support contract or market-based system.</p>

The functions listed in Table Two would be provided by a third party service provider under the commercial options presented below.

1.5 Business Case Options

The following two commercial options have been developed following a survey of project participants. Survey results indicate that project participants are primarily seeking environmental, resilience, and cost/budget saving outcomes from the delivery of neighbourhood battery projects.

Project participants:

1. Have a low appetite for taking on the risk associated with neighbourhood batteries.
2. Showed a general trend towards a lower appetite for investing in neighbourhood batteries.
3. Had no appetite or a low appetite for allocating existing staff resources to the management and maintenance of neighbourhood batteries.
4. Showed a slight trend towards a lower desire for day-to-day flexibility and decision control of neighbourhood battery management.

² <https://indigopower.com.au/community-energy-hub/>

³ Community batteries cannot participate in regulation FCAS markets.

The following two commercial options were developed to deliver a simple, low risk, and low input neighbourhood battery solution that maximises environmental, resilience and cost/budget savings. The two options are differentiated through the ownership of the solar and battery facility.

1. **Option One Third Party Ownership:** An appropriately qualified third party invests in, builds, owns, operates and maintains battery facility and leases the necessary ground space from the host site on commercial terms. The third party uses storage capacity to trade in markets managed by the Australian Energy Market Operator (AEMO). The third party insures the battery and is responsible for management and maintenance. No cash contribution is required from the host site, who achieves environmental and resilience outcomes at no cost.
2. **Option Two Host site ownership with equipment lease:** The host site invests in the facility and procures services from an appropriately qualified third party for the installation of the battery. The host site owns the battery and engages an appropriately qualified third party to operate and maintain the battery under an equipment lease agreement. It is recommended that the installation and operating party are the same entity, which allows for the operating party to ensure installed technology is compatible with preferred operating technology and software. Either a fixed or variable rent fee is paid to the owner of the battery and there is no operating or maintenance cost for the owner. The third party uses storage capacity to trade in markets managed by AEMO. The host site insures the battery. The host site funds the capital cost of the battery and seeks to recover these costs through equipment lease rental payments.

The financial outcomes of both options are presented in Section Three below.

2. Method

Modelling of the designed community battery is performed to determine the financial outcomes of the two options presented in Section 1.4 above. The modelling is performed in two stages. The battery operates optimally for all price signals (wholesale prices and network charges). This produces an operational model for the battery that maximises financial outcomes. Modelling provides an estimate of the revenue potential of the battery over a fixed time horizon. This modelling is carried out using the energy modelling software Gridcog.

The second modelling stage post-processes the cashflows resulting from the Gridcog models. This allows the terms of the agreement between the host site and a third-party owner or operator to be developed and demonstrates the business case for both parties. Annual lease agreement fees are the output of this process as well as host site cost savings.

As grant funding is currently available for neighbourhood batteries the analysis includes grant funding for a proportion of the capital cost of the community battery. Assumptions used in the energy flow modelling and the financial modelling are presented in Appendix One.

3. Results

Both business case options involve the operator of the system selling electricity to the energy market site. Prices are modelled as being flexible, increasing annually in line with inflation.

Net Surplus/Deficit:	\$8,404	\$7,585	\$7,466	\$8,551	\$8,420	\$7,687	\$8,170	\$8,462	\$9,817	\$9,590
-----------------------------	----------------	----------------	----------------	----------------	----------------	----------------	----------------	----------------	----------------	----------------

All figures ex GST

Cash Flow	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10
Opening balance:	\$0	-\$5,749	-\$13,395	-\$21,839	-\$29,922	-\$38,902	-\$49,429	-\$60,337	-\$56,075	-\$50,457
Cash inflows:										
Grants	\$300,437	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Loan	\$87,660	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Operating income	\$11,697	\$10,703	\$9,952	\$10,908	\$10,126	\$8,789	\$9,575	\$10,017	\$15,408	\$13,491
Total:	\$399,793	\$10,703	\$9,952	\$10,908	\$10,126	\$8,789	\$9,575	\$10,017	\$15,408	\$13,491
Cash outflows:										
Capital costs	\$387,660	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Operating expenses	\$7,492	\$7,318	\$6,686	\$6,557	\$5,906	\$5,301	\$5,604	\$5,755	\$9,790	\$8,102
Loan payments (principal)	\$10,390	\$11,031	\$11,711	\$12,434	\$13,200	\$14,015	\$14,879	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total:	\$405,542	\$18,349	\$18,397	\$18,991	\$19,106	\$19,316	\$20,483	\$5,755	\$9,790	\$8,102
Net cash flow:	-\$5,749	-\$7,646	-\$8,445	-\$8,083	-\$8,980	-\$10,527	-\$10,908	\$4,262	\$5,618	\$5,390
Closing balance:	-\$5,749	-\$13,395	-\$21,839	-\$29,922	-\$38,902	-\$49,429	-\$60,337	-\$56,075	-\$50,457	-\$45,067

Internal Rate of Return

Internal Rate of Return:	-6.3%
Payback Period:	>10 Years
Avg annual RoI:	N/A

3.2 Option Two: Host Site Infrastructure Ownership

Under this model the host site would be the beneficiary of grant funding to fund the installation of the battery and would invest in the remaining portion of the system. An appropriately licenced and qualified third party would operate and maintain the system under an equipment lease agreement, and accessing wholesale electricity and FCAS revenue.

This model could have one of two fee structure options:

1. A net surplus share arrangement whereby the third party and the host site share the operational net surplus for the facility. Current modelling assumes the third party pays an annual equipment lease fee (rent) to the battery owner which is based on 50% of the annual operating margin of the battery. The operating margin is calculated by:
 - a. Taking the operating revenue.
 - b. Subtracting the operating costs of the battery from the revenue, which are paid for by the battery operator.
 - c. Excluding depreciation, grant funding, and financing costs from the calculation.
2. A fixed fee arrangement whereby the third party pays to the host site battery owner an annual amount sufficient for the host site to recover the inflation adjusted (3%) cost of its investment over the fifteen-year life of the project. The annual payment amount under this model is \$7,343.

All analysis presented in this section assumes option one, sharing the operational net surplus, is applied. A host site energy analysis for year one is presented in Table Five and a ten-year analysis is presented in Figure Two. Table Six details the expected financial case for the host site if it were to invest in, and own, the battery. Table Six only considers cash flows directly related to the performance of the battery, it does not consider the whole site and its expected cost savings (relative cash flows). Table Seven considers the performance of the whole site, including both the site cost savings and the financial performance of the battery.

Table Five. Simple one year host site energy analysis under option two, the equipment lease agreement.

Yea Railway Park - Energy Summary		
Summary	Year 1	Note
Current:		(i)
Retail Supply		
- inflows	\$0	
- outflows	\$0	
Net cost:	\$0	(iv)
Proposed:		
Retail Supply		
- inflows	\$0	
- outflows	\$0	
Sub total:	\$0	
Plus Operator Payment	-\$4,591	(v)
Net cost:	-\$4,591	(vi)
Saving:	\$4,591	(vii)

Notes/Assumptions

(i) All figures exclude GST.

(ii) This represents cost of operating and maintaining the solar system - including cleaning the panels and connections, framing, corrosion and visual checks, etc.

(iii) The cost of operating and maintaining the battery system - connection checks, software updates, checking for signs of corrosion, battery room inspection, etc.

(iv) The current net cost of electricity at your site including connection, supply, operation and servicing, after deduction of any feed-in credit.

- (v) This is the operational net surplus shared with the operator. It is not part of the energy calculation.*
- (vi) The proposed net annual cost of electricity at your site after any fees paid by the operator.*
- (vii) Estimated saving of the business case, when compared to your existing situation.*

As Table Six below shows, the capital cost of the system is not recovered over a ten-year period, the internal rate of return is negative at -16.5%. This analysis considers only the financial performance of the battery system, without considering host site cost savings. Financial performance would improve with greater battery grant funding.

Table Six. Detailed profit and loss and cash flow analysis under option two, the equipment lease agreement.

Indigo Power - Financials - Yea Railway Park										
Income Statement	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10
Income:										
Wholesale export	\$9,996	\$9,042	\$8,317	\$9,371	\$8,663	\$7,412	\$8,295	\$8,860	\$14,398	\$12,625
Grants*	\$30,044	\$30,044	\$30,044	\$30,044	\$30,044	\$30,044	\$30,044	\$30,044	\$30,044	\$30,044
Ancillary services	\$1,701	\$1,661	\$1,635	\$1,537	\$1,463	\$1,377	\$1,280	\$1,157	\$1,010	\$866
Total:	\$41,740	\$40,747	\$39,996	\$40,952	\$40,170	\$38,833	\$39,619	\$40,061	\$45,451	\$43,535
Expenses:										
Network charges	-\$462	-\$545	-\$578	-\$494	-\$522	-\$639	-\$577	-\$596	-\$502	-\$467
Wholesale import	-\$837	-\$411	-\$463	-\$120	-\$156	\$24	\$1,176	\$1,630	\$5,359	\$3,414
Certificate charges	\$345	\$313	\$282	\$279	\$279	\$242	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Operations - battery	\$2,500	\$2,613	\$2,730	\$2,853	\$2,981	\$3,115	\$3,256	\$3,402	\$3,555	\$3,715
Insurance	\$969	\$1,013	\$1,058	\$1,106	\$1,156	\$1,208	\$1,262	\$1,319	\$1,378	\$1,440
Interest on Loan	\$2,630	\$2,488	\$2,343	\$2,193	\$2,038	\$1,879	\$1,715	\$1,546	\$1,372	\$1,193
Depreciation	\$25,844	\$25,844	\$25,844	\$25,844	\$25,844	\$25,844	\$25,844	\$25,844	\$25,844	\$25,844
Total:	\$30,989	\$31,314	\$31,217	\$31,661	\$31,621	\$31,672	\$32,675	\$33,145	\$37,006	\$35,139
Gross Surplus/Deficit:	\$10,751	\$9,433	\$8,779	\$9,291	\$8,549	\$7,160	\$6,943	\$6,916	\$8,445	\$8,397
Equipment lease payment:	\$4,591	\$3,860	\$3,461	\$3,642	\$3,194	\$2,420	\$2,229	\$2,131	\$2,809	\$2,695
Net Surplus/Deficit:	\$6,160	\$5,573	\$5,318	\$5,649	\$5,355	\$4,740	\$4,714	\$4,785	\$5,636	\$5,702

All figures ex GST/*Grants accounted for as income over a ten-year period in the income statement. Grant is recorded in cash inflows as a one-off payment

Cash Flow	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10
Opening balance:	\$0	-\$2,316	-\$5,798	-\$9,679	-\$13,380	-\$17,530	-\$22,453	-\$27,566	-\$32,778	-\$37,312
Cash inflows:										
Grants	\$300,437	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Loan	\$87,660	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Operating income	\$11,697	\$10,703	\$9,952	\$10,908	\$10,126	\$8,789	\$9,575	\$10,017	\$15,408	\$13,491
Total:	\$399,793	\$10,703	\$9,952	\$10,908	\$10,126	\$8,789	\$9,575	\$10,017	\$15,408	\$13,491
Cash outflows:										
Capital costs	\$387,660	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Operating expenses	\$5,145	\$5,470	\$5,373	\$5,817	\$5,777	\$5,828	\$6,831	\$7,301	\$11,162	\$9,295
Equipment lease payment	\$4,591	\$3,860	\$3,461	\$3,642	\$3,194	\$2,420	\$2,229	\$2,131	\$2,809	\$2,695
Loan payments (principal)	\$4,713	\$4,855	\$5,000	\$5,150	\$5,305	\$5,464	\$5,628	\$5,797	\$5,971	\$6,150
Total:	\$402,109	\$14,185	\$13,834	\$14,609	\$14,276	\$13,712	\$14,688	\$15,229	\$19,942	\$18,140
Net cash flow:	-\$2,316	-\$3,482	-\$3,882	-\$3,701	-\$4,150	-\$4,923	-\$5,113	-\$5,212	-\$4,534	-\$4,648
Closing balance:	-\$2,316	-\$5,798	-\$9,679	-\$13,380	-\$17,530	-\$22,453	-\$27,566	-\$32,778	-\$37,312	-\$41,960

Internal Rate of Return	
Internal Rate of Return:	-16.5%
Payback Period:	>10 Years
Avg annual RoI:	N/A

Table Seven considers the performance of the whole site, considering the financial performance of the battery as well as expected cost savings against an expected baseline (relative cashflows). The IRR calculation presented in this table includes cost savings, and we refer to this calculation as the adjusted rate of return. The inclusion of cost savings means the adjusted rate of return is greater than the internal rate of return when there are electricity cost savings for the site. The adjusted rate of return is applicable if the owner of the battery facility and the organisation who pays the electricity bills at the site are the same.

Table Seven. The relative performance of the whole site under option two, equipment lease agreement.

Financials - Yea Railway Park										
Detailed Summary	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10
Current										
Retail Supply										
- inflows	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
- outflows	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Proposed										
Equipment lease payment	-\$4,591	-\$3,860	-\$3,461	-\$3,642	-\$3,194	-\$2,420	-\$2,229	-\$2,131	-\$2,809	-\$2,695
Total:	-\$4,591	-\$3,860	-\$3,461	-\$3,642	-\$3,194	-\$2,420	-\$2,229	-\$2,131	-\$2,809	-\$2,695
Net savings/cost:	\$4,591	\$3,860	\$3,461	\$3,642	\$3,194	\$2,420	\$2,229	\$2,131	\$2,809	\$2,695
Adjusted Rate of Return										
Adj Rate of Return*:	-16.5%									
Payback Period:	>10 Years									
Avg annual RoI:	N/A									

3.3 Results Discussion

The Yea Railway Park neighbourhood battery business case has the following characteristics:

- Third party ownership of the system (Option One): is expected to deliver a negative outcome for the site over a ten-year period where it does not pay itself back. This assumes that current electricity retail tariffs remain unchanged in structure, and that prices increase only by inflation. However, it is likely that retail tariffs change in structure to include higher peak charges and that electricity prices increase by more than the inflation rate.
- The equipment lease agreement (Option Two): is expected to have a negative internal rate of return over a ten-year period at -16.5. The adjusted rate of return, which includes electricity cost savings, is negative at -16.5%.

The financial case for the installation of an in-front-of-meter battery system at the site is strongly negative for either option.

There are significant non-financial benefits of the business case and these are outlined in Section Four below.

4. Business case Benefits

The proposed battery system would create the following benefits.

1. **Household benefits:** The electricity that is charged in low-cost times when the grid is stable and stored for export. The battery could export in the early evening to replace high intensity electricity consumption at this peak demand times and when grid instability from battery facility. The benefit to the household is reducing grid outages and the network operator implementing expensive grid upgrades avoiding these costs being passed onto consumers.
2. **Environmental:** The battery would be controlled to charge from the grid in times when solar generation is in excess and discharged at peak demand. The system would share clean energy with the local community driving additional emissions abatement.
3. **Cost Savings:** The community battery facility is expected to reduce the need for expensive grid upgrades in the network thereby assisting a potential reduction in wholesale electricity and any network price changes.
4. **Network Benefits:** The neighbourhood battery has been designed to include advanced, microgrid-enabled control technology to facilitate the delivery of network related support services should the opportunity arise.
5. **Innovation:** There are very few neighbourhood battery facilities currently in operation in Australia. This business case would establish the business case for the delivery of neighbourhood batteries at other sites across regional Australia.

5. Conclusion

This business case provides options for the delivery of a 100kW/250kWh battery energy storage system only at the Yea Recreation Reserve site.

The total cost of the system is expected to be \$387,660. Financial analysis assumes that \$300,000 of government grant funding is available to cover the battery cost. The financial contribution from the battery owner is expected to be \$87,660 to meet grant funding guidelines which require a 10% co-contribution.

Two options are presented for delivery of the system. A third party can build, own and operate the system and lease the space to install the system from the host site. This model does not require a financial contribution from the host site. Alternatively, the host site can choose to contribute the \$87,660 co-contribution amount and own the system themselves, leasing the system to an appropriately qualified operator for a fee.

Third party lease of the equipment is expected to return a negative internal rate of return and a negative adjusted rate of return.

Financial analysis, and project progression, depends upon securing grant funding for battery capital costs at the amounts outlined in this business case.

Appendix One: Modelling Assumptions

Energy Flow Assumptions Table

Assumption or Input	Detail
Platform	Gridcog operational modelling Excel financial modelling
Inflation	4.5%. Applied to PPA rates and all revenue and cost items.
System sizing	System design and sizing is based on detailed site assessment from Indigo Power's qualified electrician and preliminary modelling in Gridcog.
Network tariffs	Site network tariffs are based on existing site network tariffs. CPI applied to network tariffs. It is assumed that the tariff structure and inflation-adjusted rates do not change.
Wholesale price	Forward curve from Endgame Economics (Q3 2023 Central Case) are applied.
FCAS	CY2021 contingency FCAS prices were used. Prices are linearly derated 5% year-on-year from 1 Jan 2024 to replicate potential future declines in FCAS prices. FCAS revenue assumes a 50% share of revenues with a licenced and registered third party demand response service provider, responsible for deployment of the battery in applicable FCAS markets.
System responsiveness	Gridcog modelling assumes perfect foresight, with the system performance perfectly responding to all price signals. A derating factor is incorporated in post-processing and applied to wholesale energy exports and imports, mimicking the imperfect foresight operation which will be achieved in practice. The wholesale export performance has been derated accordingly.
Retail rates	Baseline analysis assumes electricity retail tariffs for the 2023/24 financial year supplied to Indigo Power by the host site. Where rates are available for the 2024/25 financial year baseline analysis has assumed these.
Battery efficiency loss	While battery round-trip efficiency varies depending on operation and ambient temperature, we have modelled a round-trip efficiency of 80% which we anticipate is conservative.
Battery depth of discharge	80% of nominal battery storage depth of discharge, consistent with manufacturer recommendations for LFP batteries.

Financial Assumptions

Assumption or Input	Detail
Energy flows	Energy flows are provided as an output from the operational modelling performed in Gridcog.
Grant amount	The maximum grant funding amount for the 100 NB grant program is assumed. All other funding (solar capex) is provided as an investment from a third party owner or the host site, depending on the commercial option.
Grant funding amortisation	Grant funding contributions have been amortised over the life of the battery according to accounting standard AASB120, rather than treated as year one revenue.

Behind the meter power purchase agreement price	The sale of electricity to the host site from the solar and battery system has been modelled based on the PPA rates presented above. These rates are fixed and increase annually in line with inflation.
OPEX Battery	A battery opex cost of \$1000 p.a per 100 kWh battery installed is applied. Opex costs include monitoring and maintenance, control and aggregation fees, market access fees, and any third party overheads for battery deployment.
Insurance	Insurance of \$2500 per 1 million of asset insured has been applied. Paid for by a third party owner or the host site depending on the ownership of the asset.
Network connection and fees	In both options the third party operator assumes responsibility for the site meter. Network charges are absorbed by the third party operator and are not passed on to the host site.
Site lease costs	The cost for a third party to lease the necessary area to host the solar, battery and associated equipment has been set to zero. Under this analysis, consideration for the lease is represented by low cost PPA rates. Delivery is expected to include commercial rent, which may be offset by a commensurate increase in PPA prices. In any event, the net position of the host site will remain the same.
Lease period	A ten-year period has been modelled, however, further options could take the total period of any lease to at least 15 years, the expected useable life of the battery system.
System lifespan and depreciation	Battery system depreciated over 15 years. Solar PV system depreciated over 25 years. Linear depreciation.

Contact

Heath Shakespeare

Project Manager

Email: heath@indigopower.com.au

Ph: 0422 266 314

ABN: 67 629 865 452

Company Name: Indigo Power Ltd

Get in touch

Indigo Power

Old Beechworth Gaol
Corner of William and Ford Streets,
Beechworth VIC 3747, Australia

www.indigopower.com.au

email: connect@indigopower.com.au

ph: 1800 491 739

2022 Greenpeace
Green Electricity Rating



The Green
Electricity
Guide



Business for good